

March 30, 1994

LB 248, 248A, 677A, 991, 1031, 1061, 1136
LR 29, 427-475

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is not adopted. We are now then back to the underlying amendment. The call is raised. Items for the record.

CLERK: Mr. President, thank you. Very quickly, I have bills, last two bills read on Final Reading have been presented to the Governor. (Re: LB 248 and LB 248A.) Amendments to be printed, Senator Chambers to LR 29, Senator Beutler to LB 1031, Senator Chambers to LB 677A, Senator Kristensen to LB 1061; and I have a series of study resolutions, LRs 427-475, introduced by a variety of members. All will be referred to the Reference Committee. (See pages 1611-41 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Would like to recognize some more guests of the Legislature. Guests of Senator Matzke are under the south balcony, Martin, Krysti Chad, Shaun and Ericka Nienhueser of Sidney. If you would please stand and be recognized. Mr. Clerk, it's my understanding we are back to the underlying Bohlke amendment.

CLERK: Bohlke, yes, sir.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Discussion on the Bohlke amendment, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, members, I rise in support of Senator Bohlke's amendment even though I know that it includes a subsequent amendment to, I think it was LB 1136 dealing with the developmental disabilities that was put into Senator Byars' bill. I understand that. Senator Moore said it doesn't include that. I understand that this amendment does not include that, but it's a reduction in the teachers' salary that I did not support, knowing full well that I was going to make an effort to attempt to increase the developmental disabilities monies. I understand that, as a component part of that, although it's not in this amendment, that when we get to 1136 that there will be a subsequent amendment to reduce that by half. I think that, at this point in time, I will support not only the reduction but the bill as a whole, and it was not an issue of not wanting to support the teachers, but knowing that there was only so much money to go around and feeling that the developmental disabilities monies was that important. I don't like reducing that amount. It does not come easy, but I think, in light of the fact that we need to cut some money out of the